

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Lacey C, Paterson T, Gawryluk JR, for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2024) Impact of APOE-ε alleles on brain structure and cognitive function in healthy older adults: A VBM and DTI replication study. PLoS ONE 19(4): e0292576. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0292576

Editor: Xiong Jiang, Georgetown University Medical Center, UNITED STATES

Received: May 18, 2023

Accepted: September 22, 2023

Published: April 18, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 Lacey et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The data underlying the results presented in the study are available from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI): https://adni.loni.usc.edu/datasamples/access-data/

Funding: Funding for secondary analyses of the ADNI database was supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant (J.G., https://www.nserccrsng.gc.ca/professors-professeurs/grants-subs/ **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Impact of APOE-ɛ alleles on brain structure and cognitive function in healthy older adults: A VBM and DTI replication study

Colleen Lacey ^{1,2*}, Theone Paterson ^{1,2}, Jodie R. Gawryluk^{1,2,3}, for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative¹

1 Department of Psychology, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada, 2 Institute on Aging and Lifelong Health, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada, 3 Division of Medical Sciences, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

¶ Membership of the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative is listed in the Acknowledgments. * clacey@uvic.ca

Abstract

Background

The Apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene has been established in the Alzheimer's disease (AD) literature to impact brain structure and function and may also show congruent effects in healthy older adults, although findings in this population are much less consistent. The current study aimed to replicate and expand the multimodal approach employed by Honea et al. Structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), and neuropsychological measures were used to investigate the impact of APOE- ϵ status on grey matter structure, white matter integrity, and cognitive functioning.

Methods

Data were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Initiative Phase 3 (ADNI3) database. Baseline MRI, DTI and cognitive composite scores for memory (ADNI-Mem) and executive function (ADNI-EF) were acquired from 116 healthy controls. Participants were grouped according to APOE allele presence (APOE- ϵ 2+ N = 17, APOE- ϵ 3 ϵ 3 N = 64, APOE- ϵ 4+ N = 35). Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) and tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) were used to compare grey matter volume (GMV) and white matter integrity, respectively, between APOE- ϵ 2+ and APOE- ϵ 3 ϵ 3 controls, and again between APOE- ϵ 4+ and APOE- ϵ 3 ϵ 3 controls. Multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) was used to examine the effects of APOE polymorphism on memory and EF across all APOE groups with age, sex and education as regressors of no interest. Cognitive scores were correlated (Pearson r) with imaging metrics within groups.

Results

No significant differences were seen across groups, within groups in MRI metrics, or cognitive performance (p>0.05, corrected for multiple comparisons). dgigp-psigp_eng.asp) and the Alexander Graham Bell Canada Graduate Doctoral Scholarship (C.L., https://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/students-etudiants/ pg-cs/cgsd-bescd_eng.asp). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusions

The current study partially replicated and extended previous findings from an earlier multimodal study (Honea 2009). Future studies should clarify APOE mechanisms in healthy ageing by adding other imaging, cognitive, and lifestyle metrics and longitudinal design in larger sample sizes.

Introduction

The Apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene has been well established in the Alzheimer's disease (AD) literature to impact brain structure and function and modulate the risk for AD. APOE mediates lipid transport, and different isoforms are thought to affect A β aggregation and clearance differently [1]. The three isoforms, $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$ and $\epsilon 4$, occur at global frequencies of 8.4%, 77.9% and 13.7% respectively, although the $\epsilon 4$ allele is present in approximately 40% of individuals with late-onset AD [2]. Strikingly, the odds of developing AD are approximately 14 times higher in individuals with two $\epsilon 4$ alleles and ten times higher than in individuals with $\epsilon 3\epsilon 4$; thus, APOE- $\epsilon 4$ is considered an important biomarker in predicting whether or not an individual will go on to develop late-onset AD [3, 4]. In contrast, the $\epsilon 3$ allele has been proposed to play a neutral role in predicting cognitive ageing (i.e., neither increasing nor decreasing the likelihood of developing AD and the $\epsilon 2$ -allele has been proposed to play a protective role, with the odds of developing AD reduced in individuals with $\epsilon 2\epsilon 3$ [5].

Research to date has predominantly focused on the APOE- ε 4 allele and neurodegeneration. Evidence from post-mortem cellular research shows that APOE- ε 4 disrupts cholesterol and oligodendrocyte functioning, leading to problems with the myelination process [6]. In-vivo Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies investigating the impact of the APOE- ε 4 allele on the healthy aging brain have posited widespread white matter integrity (WMI) and grey matter volume (GMV) changes. However, findings on the impact of APOE- ε status on brain structure have been mixed. Some studies have shown cortical thinning and reduced GMV in regions such as the superior temporal gyrus and hippocampus in healthy APOE- ε 4 carriers compared to non- ε 4 carriers [7–11]. Others have found WMI reduction, without GMV changes, in healthy APOE- ε 4 carriers compared to non- ε 4 carriers [12, 13].

A notable study by Honea et al. investigated the effect of APOE- $\varepsilon 4$ on brain structure and cognitive function using multimodal imaging methods in healthy older adults [7]. While they found no differences in WMI or GMV after correcting for multiple comparisons, they found interesting trends, with differences in medial temporal GMV and WMI between carriers and non-carriers of the $\varepsilon 4$ -allele. Alongside MRI metric differences, Honea et al. found cognitive performance differences between healthy older carriers of the $\varepsilon 4$ -allele and non-carriers, specifically reporting reduced performance on memory and working memory measures in APOE- $\varepsilon 4$ carriers [7].

Taken together, these studies suggest that APOE- $\varepsilon 4$ may lead to structural differences in the healthy aging brain. However, further replication and characterization of the impact of APOE- $\varepsilon 4$ status in the healthy older population is paramount. Particularly, questions remain on whether reliable changes occur to both WMI and GMV, where they are localized, and how they relate to cognitive functioning.

In addition to studies focused on replicating of APOE- $\varepsilon 4$ imaging findings, there is a need to examine how other APOE genotypes might influence brain structure in healthy older adults. In particular, less attention has been given to $\varepsilon 2$ -carriers despite evidence that the APOE- $\varepsilon 2$

allele may be neuroprotective against AD [5]. Research that has included APOE ε 2-carriers has also revealed mixed findings, with some studies indicating preserved structural integrity of grey matter and increased WMI in these individuals [14], and others not finding protective effects of ε 2 in the brain, suggesting that ε 2 may play a different role in healthy ageing that has yet to be sufficiently explained [15, 16]. Evidently, the effects of APOE- ε 2 are much less consistent and are understudied relative to APOE- ε 4.

In order to replicate and extend research on APOE in healthy ageing, the current study aimed to reproduce Honea et al.'s study, given that their approach was multimodal and investigated GMV, WMI, and cognitive function in APOE ϵ 4 carriers relative to ϵ 3 ϵ 3 controls [7]. Using data from the healthy cohort from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI), the current study also aimed to expand Honea et al.'s methods to include an APOE- ϵ 2 group, as well as cognitive composites for memory and executive function [7]. It was hypothesized that there would be structural differences in WMI and GMV across APOE genotypes (i.e., APOE ϵ 4-carriers < ϵ 3 ϵ 3 controls < ϵ 2-carriers) in a cohort of cognitively healthy older adults in temporal, prefrontal, and hippocampal regions. It was also hypothesized that similar cognitive differences would be seen across the current study's APOE groups in the specific domains of memory and executive function, given that composite measures for these domains were available within the ADNI and have shown good reliability across several ADNI studies.

Methods

Participants

Data used in the current study were downloaded from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database between December 2020 and April 2021. The ADNI is a large multicenter research trial launched in 2003 by the National Institute on Ageing (NIA), the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBB), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), private pharmaceutical companies and non-profit organizations, as a \$60 million, 5-year public-private partnership. The primary goal of ADNI has been to investigate whether serial MRI, positron emission tomography, other biological markers, and clinical neuropsychological assessments can be combined to measure the progression of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and AD. Please see <u>www.adni-info.org</u> for up-to-date information. The current study exclusively examined data available in the ADNI3 protocol, which began in 2016 with an expanded goal of determining clinical, cognitive, imaging, genetics, and biochemical biomarker characteristics across AD (including 133 new elderly control participants) [17].

Data obtained from ADNI3 included 317 healthy older adults with both baseline DTI and MRI imaging data (controlled for scanner and image acquisition type), composite cognitive scores for memory and EF, and APOE genotyping. Participants were included in the healthy cognitive group if they were free of subjective memory concerns, scored within the normal range on the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised (WMS-R) Logical Memory II adjusted for age/education (\geq 9 for those with \geq 16 years of education), scored between 24 and 30 on the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), had a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) of 0, and had no other impairments to cognition or activities of daily living. For more eligibility information, please see the ADNI3 Procedures Manual Chapter 6 (https://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/ADNI3-Procedures-Manual_v3.0_20170627.pdf). Participants were excluded if scans were distorted and unsuitable for image preprocessing. The final sample size after applying exclusion criteria was 116. The sample size was determined based on previous neuroimaging studies that have shown significant structural differences between healthy older APOE groups [13, 18–23]. A separate power

analysis was conducted for cognitive analyses (MANCOVA) via G*Power software (version 3.1.9.6), which suggested a total sample size of 153 [24, 25].

Cognitively healthy older adults were assigned to three groups based on APOE genotype: (i) APOE ε 4+ (including individuals with ε 3 ε 4 and ε 4 ε 4), (ii) APOE ε 3 ε 3 (controls), and (iii) APOE ε 2+ (including individuals with ε 2 ε 3 and ε 2 ε 2). Those with ε 2 ε 4 were excluded due to qualifying for inclusion into more than one group (i.e., both an ε 2 and ε 4 carrier) or if no APOE data was reported in ADNI3. APOE groups were matched for age, sex, and education. Demographic and cognitive data are summarized in Table 1. Twenty-six participants had a known family history of AD (N_{ε 2+} = 3, N_{ε 3 ε 3} = 12, N_{ε 4+} = 11). Twenty-five individuals were excluded in the preprocessing steps for corrupted image quality, including severe image artifacts and cut-off cortical structures, with a final sample of 116 (N_{ε 2+} = 17, N_{ε 3 ε 3} = 64, N_{ε 4+} = 35). Of note, all e2e2 participants were excluded in VBM only due to artifacts to T1 images. This did not affect the significance across group demographics overall.

Ethics statement

ADNI's primary investigators obtained written informed consent for all participants according to each imaging and assessment site's Institutional Review Board. Data obtained was de-identified to protect the participant's confidentiality, and the authors of the current study did not have access to identifying participant information during data collection. Researchers using data from the ADNI database must apply to obtain access and sign the *ADNI Data Use Agreement* (for more details, please visit https://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Data_Use_Agreement.pdf), which was granted for the current study and updated again in May of 2021. Further, the current study was approved by the University of Victoria's Human Research Ethics Board (HREB, no. 14–083, 22–0043).

Study design

First, the $\varepsilon 4+$ group was compared to the $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$ controls, and the $\varepsilon 2+$ group was compared to the $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$ group on VBM and DTI to assess grey matter and white matter structure, respectively, in the FMRIB Software Library (FSL). Next, cognitive scores were compared to assess brain function using multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA). Finally, within-group cognitive neural correlates were assessed and compared across the three groups by extracting imaging metrics and correlating them with cognitive composite scores within each APOE group.

Table 1. Demographic information and cognitive data for APOE groups.

	ΑΡΟΕ ε2+	ΑΡΟΕ ε3 ε3	APOE E4+	F/X ²	p-value			
N	17 (17 ε2ε3/0 ε2ε2)	64	35 (28 ε3ε4/7 ε4ε4)	—	—			
Age (M/SD)	77.31/16.54	74.31/8.69	73.17/7.95	1.42	0.25			
Sex (F/M)	8/9	42/22	21/14	1.98	0.37			
Education (M/SD)	17.00/2.65	16.84/2.28	16.74/2.21	0.07	0.93			
AD Family History (Y/N)	3/14	12/52	11/24	2.35	0.31			
ADNI-Mem (M/SD)	0.78/0.66	1.11/0.54	1.00/0.66	1.85	0.16			
ADNI-EF (M/SD)	0.98/0.49	0.98/0.91	1.09/0.91	0.20	0.83			

Note. M and *SD* represent mean and standard deviation, respectively. *F* statistics with p-values reported from ANOVA, X² statistic with p-value reported for categorical sex variable.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292576.t001

Data acquisition and preprocessing

APOE Genotyping. All APOE genotyping was performed upon baseline visit and was conducted by collecting DNA samples obtained from participants' blood [26]. Genetic samples were collected in 10mL Purple ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) blood collection tubes and then analyzed at the National Centralized Repository for Alzheimer's Disease (NCRAD) labs. More details on genotyping protocols and toolkit information can be found in the ADNI3 protocol, Chapter 21 (https://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/ADNI3-Procedures-Manual_v3.0_20170627.pdf).

Voxel-based morphometry. Grey matter volumetric characteristics were evaluated using VBM [27, 28]. 3T Accelerated Sagittal T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) scans were downloaded from ADNI3 for each participant. The following acquisition parameters were set for each participant: PA Matrix coil, a repetition time (TR) of 2300 ms, an echo time (TE) of 3.0 ms, an inversion time (TI) of 900 ms, a flip angle of 9.0 degrees, pulse sequence GR/IR, Matrix X with 240 pixels, matrix Y with 256 pixels, matrix Z with 160 pixels, pixel spacing of 1.1 mm, and a slice thickness of 1.2 mm.

Data preprocessing was carried out using FSL (version 5.1.10). For VBM preprocessing, images were converted to an image file type compatible with the FSL program (*NIfTI* format) using MRICRON program dcm2nii. Scans for each participant were visually inspected for any major artifacts or distortion, and each participant's brain was extracted from the skull and neck, adjusting fractional intensity thresholds to account for individual differences (BET; [29]). Next, images were segmented into grey matter, white matter, and CSF, where the grey matter from each subject was then registered to standard space (i.e., MNI 152) with non-linear registration. Images were averaged to create a study-specific grey matter template and represented as a 4D image. Each grey matter image was registered to the study-specific template, modulated, and smoothed with a kernel of sigma = 3mm. Finally, Randomise permutation testing with threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) was computed to compare GMV differences between APOE- ε 4+ and ε 3 ε 3 and also between APOE- ε 2+ and ε 3 ε 3 groups.

Diffusion tensor imaging. The same participants compared in VBM were compared in DTI analysis. 2D Axial DTI images were acquired with a Siemens 3.0 T MR scanner with spin echo, echo planar imaging sequence, 54 gradient directions, a b-value of 1000 s/mm^2 , and voxel size of $2x2x2 \text{ mm}^3$, 2mm slice thickness, 90° flip angle, and a repetition time of 9600 ms.

Like in VBM preprocessing, images obtained from ADNI were first converted to *NIfTI* format. Next, eddy current correction (ECC) was performed to correct for image artifacts, such as tract distortions resulting from circulating currents in the gradient coils [30]. Following ECC, each participant's brain was extracted, using the brain extraction tool (BET) in FSL, from the skull and neck and a binary brain mask was created [27]. Individual images were inspected in *FSLeyes* image viewer in order to optimize brain tissue inclusion by adjusting the fractional intensity threshold (thresholds ranged from 3.0–5.0). Finally, diffusion tensors were fit to every voxel using *DTIFIT*, outputting fractional anisotropy (FA) and mean diffusivity (MD) values for each participant to be included in a statistical comparison [31, 32].

Cognitive. Subjects included in the current study have undergone thorough baseline neuropsychological assessments. The cognitive measures used in the current study were comprised of composite scores for memory (ADNI-Mem) and executive functioning (ADNI-EF) derived from the ADNI neuropsychological test battery. Composite scores were determined by ADNI's primary investigators using confirmatory factor analysis, representing multiple individual measures in each domain as an overall factor score [33, 34].

The ADNI-Mem composite includes the Rey Auditory Learning Test of episodic verbal memory (RAVLT; Trials 1–5, List B, Immediate Recall, Delayed Recall, and Recognition),

Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive memory-related measures (ADAS-Cog; Trials1-3, Recall, Recognition Present, Recognition Absent), Weschler Memory Scale Logical Memory I and II (WMS-R; Immediate and Delayed Recall), and the Mini Mental State Examination recall items (MMSE; ball, flag, & tree recall). The ADNI-EF composite includes the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (WAIS-R; Digit Symbol Substitution), Digit Span Backwards, Trails A and B, Category Fluency (animals & vegetables), and Clock Drawing [34].

Statistical analyses

VBM. Following preprocessing steps, Randomise permutation testing was used to compare GMV differences between the APOE ε 4+ group and ε 3 ε 3 controls and between the ε 2 + group and ε 3 ε 3 controls. Covariates of age, sex, and education were included in design matrices for both comparisons. Smoothing was applied, and comparisons were carried out using Randomise, which computes independent sample t-tests with 5000 permutations and corrects for multiple comparisons via TFCE to display any significant clusters in FSLeyes.

DTI. Following preprocessing steps, voxel-wise statistical analysis was performed using Track-based Spatial Statistics (TBSS) and Randomise to compute test statics in FSL [35, 36]. TBSS condenses all FA data into one standard tract skeleton to create average tracts across participants [37]. Individual FA values for each subject were registered onto a standard skeleton in 1x1x1 mm standard space (FMRIB59_FA), with each value projected onto a mean FA skeleton. Whole brain FA and MD values were compared between the APOE ε 4+ group and ε 3 ε 3 controls and then between the APOE ε 2+ group and ε 3 ε 3 controls. Covariates of age, sex, and education were included in design matrices for both comparisons. Comparisons underwent Randomise, which provided test statistics, and, finally, results corrected for TFCE were displayed in FSLeyes similarly to VBM.

MANCOVA and cognitive-structural neural correlates. In RStudio (Version 1.4.1103), a between-subjects multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) was performed across APOE groups with the two cognitive composite outcome measures and the inclusion of sex, age, and education level as covariates. Assumptions of univariate (using Shapiro-Wilk's W) and multivariate normality were tested (using Henze-Zirkler's HZ), as well as homogeneity of covariances (using Box's M). Finally, Pillai's Trace test statistic (*V*) was computed to test main effects in the current model. Effect sizes were determined by partial eta squared (η^2).

The two composite scores were evaluated separately in each APOE group, regardless of significant between-groups differences in MANCOVA, to determine cognitive-neural correlates. Mean, whole-brain FA, MD, and GMV values were extracted from FSL for correlational analysis with cognitive neural correlates. In RStudio, Pearson correlations (r) were calculated for each composite score (i.e., ADNI-Mem & EF) and imaging metric (i.e., FA, MD, and GMV), then compared across groups visually via scatterplots.

Results

Participant demographic and cognitive characteristics

There were no significant differences between APOE groups in age, sex, or education. There were also no significant differences between groups on composite measures of memory and EF. Statistics for these data are reported in Table 1. Results from VBM and DTI analyses are presented in the following sections at significance (p<0.05, corrected for multiple comparisons via TFCE). The approach taken by Honea et al. examined uncorrected maps to examine and report trend-level patterns [7]. We took a similar approach in the current study; due to wide-spread, non-specific regions of difference, however, no meaningful patterns could be reported in the current study.

VBM

VBM analyses revealed no significant differences in GMV between ε 4+ and controls and between ε 2+ and controls (p>0.05, corrected via TFCE).

DTI

DTI analyses revealed no significant differences in FA or MD (p >0.05, corrected via TFCE) between ϵ 4+ and controls, similarly between ϵ 2+ and controls.

MANCOVA and cognitive-structural neural correlates

For MANCOVA, assumptions were met for univariate normality (Memory: W = 0.99, p = 0.37; EF: W = 0.99, p-value = 0.69), multivariate normality (HZ = 0.46, p = 0.70), and homogeneity of covariances using (Box M, p = 0.27). There were no significant main effects of APOE status on cognitive outcome variables using Pillai's trace (V = 0.06, F(4,206) = 1.51, p = 0.20). Effect sizes were low for memory scores ($\eta^2 = 0.035$) and EF scores ($\eta^2 = 0.037$) across groups. For within-group correlations, no significant relationships were found for any of the cognitive neural correlates (i.e., Fig 1A: FA x Memory, Fig 1B: FA x EF, Fig 1C: MD x Memory, Fig 1D: MD x EF, Fig 1E: GMV x Memory, & Fig 1F: GMV x EF) scores in APOE- ε 4 +, APOE- ε 2+, or APOE- ε 3 ε 3 controls. Table 2 displays non-significant correlational data within each APOE group.

Discussion

The current manuscript aimed to replicate and expand upon a previous multimodal neuroimaging study conducted by Honea et al. [7], which examined the impact of APOE- ε 4 presence on brain structure and cognitive function in healthy older adults. Using participants from the ADNI3, the current study followed closely Honea et al.'s multimodal imaging approach to compare APOE- ε 3e3 individuals to APOE- ε 4 carriers on GMV and WMI, with the addition of an APOE- ε 2 carrier group and cognitive composite measures to expand on the previous findings [7]. The current study contributed to a growing body of literature investigating both the risk and protective effects of APOE- ε alleles (ε 4 and ε 2, respectively) on measures of cognitive performance, GMV and WMI in healthy older adults.

It was hypothesized that structural differences in GMV and WMI would be seen between APOE genotypes (i.e., APOE ε 4-carriers $<\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$ controls $<\varepsilon 2$ -carriers) in a cohort of cognitively healthy older adults. Contrary to the hypothesis, no significant differences in grey or white matter were found between APOE- $\varepsilon 2$ or APOE- $\varepsilon 4$ carriers compared to APOE- $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$ controls. These findings largely replicated Honea et al. [7], who similarly found no significant GMV differences between APOE-4 carriers and non-carriers. Although Honea et al. reported non-significant trend level differences between their APOE-e4 carrier and non-carrier groups in temporal, hippocampal, and frontal regions, the current study did not report non-significant findings [7].

Previous neuroimaging studies investigating APOE polymorphisms have found mixed results in cognitively healthy samples; thus, the current study aligns with some findings but contradicts others. Specifically, several studies have had similar null findings. Most recently, Lissaman et al. reported no significant differences in white or grey matter between healthy younger APOE- ϵ 4 carriers and non-carriers, in line with the current study and previous work suggesting no differences in GMV between carriers and non-carriers of the ϵ 4 allele [38–40]. Studies that have taken a longitudinal approach have also suggested that the presence of APOE- ϵ 4 does not affect the rate of GMV change over time in the hippocampal or thalamic



Fig 1. Scatterplots displaying within-group correlations for each APOE group. (A) FA x memory, (B) FA x EF, (C) MD x memory, (D) MD x EF, (E) GMV x memory, (F) GMV x EF.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292576.g001

regions [22]. One notable limitation of these studies is that they did not include ε_2 -carriers and $\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_3$ controls separately, such as in the current study. In contrast, several other studies have demonstrated significant differences between APOE-e4 carrier and non-carrier groups. For example, Nao et al. found reduced GMV in APOE- ε_4 carriers compared to non-carriers in prefrontal and temporal-parietal regions, as well as bilateral cingulate gyri [41], and den Heijer et al. found reduced GMV in the hippocampus of ε_4 carriers compared to $\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_3$ controls

Correlated Variables	APOE E2+	p-value	ΑΡΟΕ ε3ε3	p-value	APOE _{ε4+}	p-value
FA x ADNI_MEM	.20 [33, .63]	0.46	.08 [19, .34]	0.55	.01 [32, .34]	0.94
FA x ADNI_EF	.23 [30, .65]	0.39	.03 [24, .29]	0.82	.18 [16, .48]	0.30
MD x ADNI_MEM	29 [69, .24]	0.27	15 [40, .12]	0.27	23 [52, .11]	0.19
MD x ADNI_EF	25 [66, .28]	0.36	15 [40, .12]	0.28	33 [60, .01]	0.05
GMV x ADNI_MEM	.06 [45, .54]	0.82	04 [30, .23]	0.80	12 [44, .22]	0.48
GMV x ADNI_EF	.36 [17, .72]	0.18	.13 [14, .38]	0.36	05 [38, .29]	0.77

Table 2. Correlational data for APOE groups.

Note. Values represent Pearson correlation coefficients with lower and upper limits of the 95% confidence interval in brackets. *indicates p < .05 (no correlations showed significance).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292576.t002

[42]. In terms of WMI, Heise et al. found APOE- ε 4 carriers had reduced FA compared to non- ε 4 carriers [13], while Cavedo et al. found APOE- ε 4 carriers showed increased MD and RD with decreased FA in several regions [43]. Findings additionally extend to early developmental stages, whereby grey and white matter development may be altered by APOE- ε 4 presence and affect cognitive development as a result [44].

Within the aforementioned literature, studies varied by approach (multimodal or single modality comparison), age group (younger vs. older adults) and design (cross-sectional vs. longitudinal). Few studies have accounted for longitudinal trajectories. Interestingly, Haller et al. reported reduced GMV in the posterior cingulate cortex in APOE- ε 4+ older adults who went on to develop subtle cognitive decline within 18 months but no differences in those who remained cognitively stable [20]. Another study found a significant impact of APOE- ε 4's presence on hippocampal volume longitudinally only in people who later went on to develop MCI or AD but did not impact cognition, GMV, or WMI differently across healthy APOE groups cross-sectionally [45]. It is possible that the participants in the current study represent a mix of individuals; some later go on to develop cognitive decline, and others who remain cognitively stable over time. Longitudinal follow-up may become possible as ADNI data collection accumulates over time. Taken together, differences in methodological approaches and in the trajectories of healthy participants may account for the range of findings in the extant literature.

The scope of the current replication was broadened by comparing APOE- ε 2 carriers and APOE- ε 3e3 controls on GMV and WMI. Contrary to the study's hypotheses, no significant differences between APOE- ε 2 carriers and APOE- ε 3e3 controls on GMV and WMI. Similar results have been reported in previous literature. Luo et al. found no differences in GMV or thickness across APOE- ε 2 carriers, ε 4 carriers, and ε 3 ε 3 controls using data from ADNI healthy cohorts ADNI-GO and ADNI1 [19]. The current study has essentially replicated these GMV results in the ADNI3 cohort. Nonetheless, some previous studies have reported APOE- ε 2 changes to WMI and GMV. For example, Chiang et al. found an increase in ε 2 homozygotes [46], and Konishi et al. found the ε 2 allele to be associated with higher GMV in hippo-campal and medial temporal regions compared to non-carriers, although their cognitively healthy sample was of much younger age than the current study [21]. Given that limited studies have focused on the potential neuroprotective effects of APOE- ε 2, further research on this group is needed.

Cognitive scores recorded from the healthy older cohort in the current study were expected to fall within the normal range on standardized measures; nonetheless, some previous studies have reported a relative cognitive advantage in ε 2-carriers and a relative disadvantage in ε 4-carriers [5, 7, 8–13, 46]. The current study found no significant differences between APOE groups on indices of memory or EF. In contrast, Honea et al. found APOE- ε 4 carries had

overall decreased global cognitive performance, with specific reductions on memory measures (i.e., Logical Memory I) and working memory (i.e., Letter-Number Sequencing) [7]. The current study did not replicate these findings, although the measures used to evaluate memory and EF in the current study varied slightly, which may explain the discrepant findings. The current study also evaluated cognitive-neural correlates (in line with data availability from ADNI3), while Honea et al. evaluated cognitive-lifestyle correlates [7]. The current findings did not detect significant relationships between cognitive scores and imaging metrics in any APOE groups.

Limitations

While the current study followed Honea and colleagues' methods as closely as possible, the measures selected in the current study were limited to those available in the ADNI [7]. For instance, ADNI does not include lifestyle and physical performance measures; therefore, cognitive neural correlates were evaluated similarly to Honea et al. evaluated lifestyle measures [7]. Nonetheless, ADNI addresses many other imaging and neuropsychological research limitations, including increasing replicability, sample size, and cost-effectiveness.

While the current healthy cohort was comparable to the replicated study (free of subjective memory complaints, exhibited normal memory function on the Logical Memory II, MMSE score between 24 and 30 inclusive, and a Clinical Dementia Rating of 0), there remained some overall issues with the approach to evaluating "healthy" in the ADNI. Criteria for this group were dependent on a select few criteria (i.e., WMS-R LMII, MMSE, & CDR scale) rather than a comprehensive neuropsychological examination. While effective in its quick ability to categorize ADNI participants, this leaves out certain cognitive domains which might show poor performance in this healthy sample, such as working memory and other EFs. The ongoing challenge with operationalizing healthy ageing lies in the various and limited approaches to assessment and inconclusive theoretical definitions applied in different ways throughout the literature.

The current study also differed from the approach employed by Honea and colleagues in terms of the software used for the VBM analysis and the conservativeness of the thresholds employed [7]. Specifically, the current study used FSL software for the VBM analysis with correction for multiple comparisons. In contrast, Honea et al. used SPM software and detected regional differences between groups in the uncorrected data [7]. Although the processing pipelines are similar, it is possible that variations in findings could relate to differences in the data analysis software [47]. Future replication studies should further examine the role that analysis software plays in variability in findings across the literature.

Furthermore, neither Honea and colleagues nor the current study employed an analysis approach to examine the relationships between lifestyle and cognitive variables within an imaging pipeline [7]. This approach could potentially identify specific regions that relate to these variables of interest. Future studies could expand on current findings by incorporating these variables into VBM and TBSS design matrices.

The sample size in the current study overall is comparable to other imaging studies, especially multimodal ones; however, sample size in imaging studies continues to pose limitations. The APOE- ϵ 2 group in the current study is particularly small, although this was expected based on population prevalence rates. Nevertheless, it is possible that a larger sample size and greater power would have made it possible to detect subtle cognitive and neurostructural changes across these groups.

Future directions

Future studies should continue to take a multimodal approach, and to be as comprehensive as possible. In particular, future studies could include additional MRI scan acquisitions (e.g., functional MRI) and analyses to expand on the current work focused on grey matter morphometry and white matter microstructure. Additional imaging metrics may allow future research to identify structural changes in healthy older adults with different APOE-ε alleles that may not have been detectable in the current study. Such metrics could include the additional DTI metrics of RD and AxD, alternative measures of grey matter morphology (e.g., cortical thickness analyses), and qMRI. Using these approaches, future studies may more readily identify key missing components to APOE's complex neuromechanisms. For instance, a recent study looked at myelin water fraction using qMRI and found that healthy adult APOE-ε2 carriers had higher myelin content than APOE-ε4 carriers [48].

A longitudinal approach should also be taken in future studies. Several previous studies have shown differences in the rate of decline in both brain structure and function across APOE carriers. This type of design is particularly facilitated by large databases, like the ADNI, that continuously add participants with repeated assessments over time.

Finally, previous studies, including Honea et al., have most often examined APOE- ε 4 carriers versus non-carriers [6, 7]. Future studies should separate APOE- ε 3e3 from APOE- ε 2 carriers when possible. Although the current study did not find differences between APOE- ε 3e3 and APOE- ε 2 carriers, further investigations on whether these groups have differing effects on neuropathology are required. Some studies also posit a gene-dose effect, with the addition of each ε 4 risk allele increasing pathology severity, and the opposite for the ε 2 allele (e.g., in Hostage et al.) [49]. Future studies should include homozygote ε 2 and ε 4 groups to examine these gene-dose effects.

Conclusion

The current study replicated the main findings of Honea and colleagues, which revealed no significant differences in WMI or GMV between healthy older adults with and without the APOE- ε 4 allele [7]. The current study also aimed to extend previous findings by examining the APOE- ε 2 allele, which is thought to confer some protective effects against neurodegeneration. Based on the current study and other mixed findings to date, whether these effects occur through the preservation of grey and white matter structure is unclear. The current and replicated study shows that different APOE- ε isoforms do not differentially impact brain structure and function in older adults free of cognitive impairment. Future research should examine large sample sizes and multimodal measures over multiple time points to understand the possible trajectories of healthy ageing that may emerge as a function of APOE alleles.

Supporting information

S1 Checklist. STROBE statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

Data collection and sharing for this project was funded by the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of Defense award number W81XWH-12-2-0012). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: AbbVie, Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Cogstate; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; EuroImmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Lumosity; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Therapeutic Research Institute at the University of Southern California. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California.

Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wpcontent/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_ List.pdf

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Colleen Lacey, Theone Paterson, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Data curation: Colleen Lacey, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Formal analysis: Colleen Lacey.

Funding acquisition: Colleen Lacey, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Investigation: Colleen Lacey, Theone Paterson, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Methodology: Colleen Lacey, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Project administration: Colleen Lacey, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Resources: Colleen Lacey, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Software: Colleen Lacey, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Supervision: Colleen Lacey, Theone Paterson, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Validation: Colleen Lacey, Theone Paterson, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Visualization: Colleen Lacey, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

Writing – original draft: Colleen Lacey.

Writing - review & editing: Colleen Lacey, Theone Paterson, Jodie R. Gawryluk.

References

- Liu CC, Kanekiyo T, Xu H, Bu G. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: risk, mechanisms, and therapy. Nat Rev Neurol. 2013 Feb; 9(2):106–18. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2012.263 PMID: 23296339
- 2. Farrer LA, Cupples LA, Haines JL, Hyman B, Kukull WA, Mayeux R, et al. Effects of age, sex, and ethnicity on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and Alzheimer disease. A meta-analysis.

APOE and Alzheimer Disease Meta Analysis Consortium. JAMA. 1997 Oct 22; 278(16):1349–56. PMID: 9343467

- Ba M, Kong M, Li X, Ng KP, Rosa-Neto P, Gauthier S. Is ApoE ε 4 a good biomarker for amyloid pathology in late onset Alzheimer's disease? Transl Neurodegener. 2016 Nov 16; 5:20.
- Saddiki H, Fayosse A, Cognat E, Sabia S, Engelborghs S, Wallon D, et al. Age and the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and Alzheimer disease: A cerebrospinal fluid biomarker–based case–control study. PLOS Med. 2020 Aug 20; 17(8):e1003289. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.</u> 1003289 PMID: 32817639
- Suri S, Heise V, Trachtenberg AJ, Mackay CE. The forgotten APOE allele: A review of the evidence and suggested mechanisms for the protective effect of APOE ε2. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2013 Dec 1; 37(10, Part 2):2878–86.
- Blanchard JW, Akay LA, Davila-Velderrain J, von Maydell D, Mathys H, Davidson SM, et al. APOE4 impairs myelination via cholesterol dysregulation in oligodendrocytes. Nature. 2022 Nov; 611 (7937):769–79. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05439-w PMID: 36385529
- Honea RA, Vidoni E, Harsha A, Burns JM. Impact of APOE on the healthy aging brain: a voxel-based MRI and DTI study. J Alzheimers Dis JAD. 2009; 18(3):553–64. <u>https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2009-1163</u> PMID: 19584447
- Cacciaglia R, Molinuevo JL, Falcón C, Brugulat-Serrat A, Sánchez-Benavides G, Gramunt N, et al. Effects of APOE-ε4 allele load on brain morphology in a cohort of middle-aged healthy individuals with enriched genetic risk for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 2018 Jul 1; 14(7):902–12.
- Fan M, Liu B, Zhou Y, Zhen X, Xu C, Jiang T. Cortical thickness is associated with different apolipoprotein E genotypes in healthy elderly adults. Neurosci Lett. 2010 Aug 2; 479(3):332–6. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neulet.2010.05.092 PMID: 20573574
- Li B, Shi J, Gutman BA, Baxter LC, Thompson PM, Caselli RJ, et al. Influence of APOE Genotype on Hippocampal Atrophy over Time - An N=1925 Surface-Based ADNI Study. Chiba-Falek O, editor. PLOS ONE. 2016 Apr 11; 11(4):e0152901. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0152901 PMID: 27065111
- Schuff N, Woerner N, Boreta L, Kornfield T, Shaw LM, Trojanowski JQ, et al. MRI of hippocampal volume loss in early Alzheimer's disease in relation to ApoE genotype and biomarkers. Brain. 2009 Apr 1; 132(4):1067–77. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awp007 PMID: 19251758
- Heise V, Filippini N, Ebmeier KP, Mackay CE. The APOE ε4 allele modulates brain white matter integrity in healthy adults. Mol Psychiatry. 2011 Sep; 16(9):908–16.
- Persson J, Lind J, Larsson A, Ingvar M, Cruts M, Broeckhoven CV, et al. Altered brain white matter integrity in healthy carriers of the APOE ε4 allele: A risk for AD? Neurology. 2006 Apr 11; 66(7):1029–33.
- 14. Chiang GC, Insel PS, Tosun D, Schuff N, Truran-Sacrey D, Raptentsetsang ST, et al. Hippocampal atrophy rates and CSF biomarkers in elderly APOE2 normal subjects(e–Pub ahead of print). Neurology. 2010 Nov 30; 75(22):1976–81.
- Groot C, van der Weijden CWJ, van der Flier WM, van Loenhoud AC, van Berckel BNM, Barkhof F, et al. [IC-P-055]: Effect of APOE-ε2 on regional gray matter atrophy and clinical phenotype in Alzheimer's Disease. Alzheimers Dement. 2017 Jul 1; 13(7S_Part_1):P45–6.
- Serra-Grabulosa JM, Salgado-Pineda P, Junqué C, Solé-Padullés C, Moral P, López-Alomar A, et al. Apolipoproteins E and C1 and brain morphology in memory impaired elders. Neurogenetics. 2003 Apr; 4(3):141–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10048-002-0142-8 PMID: 12736801
- Weiner MW, Veitch DP, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, Cairns NJ, Green RC, et al. The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative 3: Continued innovation for clinical trial improvement. Alzheimers Dement J Alzheimers Assoc. 2017 May; 13(5):561–71.
- Cremers HR, Wager TD, Yarkoni T. The relation between statistical power and inference in fMRI. PLoS ONE. 2017 Nov 20; 12(11):e0184923. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184923 PMID: 29155843
- for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI), Luo X, Jiaerken Y, Yu X, Huang P, Qiu T, et al. Affect of APOE on information processing speed in non-demented elderly population: a preliminary structural MRI study. Brain Imaging Behav. 2017 Aug; 11(4):977–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11682-016-9571-0 PMID: 27444731
- Haller S, Montandon ML, Rodriguez C, Ackermann M, Herrmann FR, Giannakopoulos P. APOE*E4 Is Associated with Gray Matter Loss in the Posterior Cingulate Cortex in Healthy Elderly Controls Subsequently Developing Subtle Cognitive Decline. Am J Neuroradiol. 2017 Jul 1; 38(7):1335–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5184</u> PMID: 28495939
- Konishi K, Bhat V, Banner H, Poirier J, Joober R, Bohbot VD. APOE2 Is Associated with Spatial Navigational Strategies and Increased Gray Matter in the Hippocampus. Front Hum Neurosci [Internet]. 2016

[cited 2020 Apr 16];10. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2016.00349/ full https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2016.00349 PMID: 27468260

- 22. Squarzoni P, Duran FLS, Busatto GF, Alves TCT de F. Reduced Gray Matter Volume of the Thalamus and Hippocampal Region in Elderly Healthy Adults with no Impact of APOE ε4: A Longitudinal Voxel-Based Morphometry Study. J Alzheimers Dis. 2018 Jan 1; 62(2):757–71.
- Westlye LT, Reinvang I, Rootwelt H, Espeseth T. Effects of APOE on brain white matter microstructure in healthy adults. Neurology. 2012 Nov 6; 79(19):1961–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.</u> 0b013e3182735c9c PMID: 23100402
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods. 2007 May 1; 39(2):175–91. https://doi.org/10.3758/bf03193146 PMID: 17695343
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, Lang AG. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. Behav Res Methods. 2009 Nov 1; 41(4):1149–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149 PMID</u>: 19897823
- Saykin AJ, Shen L, Foroud TM, Potkin SG, Swaminathan S, Kim S, et al. Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative biomarkers as quantitative phenotypes: Genetics core aims, progress, and plans. Alzheimers Dement. 2010 May 1; 6(3):265–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2010.03.013 PMID: 20451875
- Ashburner J, Friston KJ. Voxel-Based Morphometry—The Methods. NeuroImage. 2000 Jun 1; 11 (6):805–21. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2000.0582 PMID: 10860804
- Good CD, Johnsrude IS, Ashburner J, Henson RNA, Friston KJ, Frackowiak RSJ. A Voxel-Based Morphometric Study of Ageing in 465 Normal Adult Human Brains. NeuroImage. 2001 Jul; 14(1):21–36. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2001.0786 PMID: 11525331
- Smith SM. Fast robust automated brain extraction. Hum Brain Mapp. 2002 Nov 1; 17(3):143–55. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.10062 PMID: 12391568
- Andersson JLR, Sotiropoulos SN. An integrated approach to correction for off-resonance effects and subject movement in diffusion MR imaging. NeuroImage. 2016 Jan 15; 125:1063–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.019 PMID: 26481672</u>
- Behrens T e. j., Woolrich M w., Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, Nunes R g., Clare S et al. Characterization and propagation of uncertainty in diffusion-weighted MR imaging. Magn Reson Med. 2003 Nov 1; 50(5):1077–88. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.10609 PMID: 14587019
- Behrens TEJ, Berg HJ, Jbabdi S, Rushworth MFS, Woolrich MW. Probabilistic diffusion tractography with multiple fibre orientations: What can we gain? NeuroImage. 2007 Jan 1; 34(1):144–55. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.09.018 PMID: 17070705
- Crane PK, Carle A, Gibbons LE, Insel P, Mackin RS, Gross A, et al. Development and assessment of a composite score for memory in the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). Brain Imaging Behav. 2012 Dec 1; 6(4):502–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-012-9186-z PMID: 22782295
- Gibbons LE, Carle AC, Mackin RS, Harvey D, Mukherjee S, Insel P, et al. A composite score for executive functioning, validated in Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) participants with baseline mild cognitive impairment. Brain Imaging Behav. 2012 Dec 1; 6(4):517–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-012-9176-1 PMID: 22644789
- Bach M, Laun FB, Leemans A, Tax CMW, Biessels GJ, Stieltjes B, et al. Methodological considerations on tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS). NeuroImage. 2014 Oct 15; 100:358–69. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.06.021 PMID: 24945661</u>
- Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Woolrich MW, Beckmann CF, Behrens TEJ, Johansen-Berg H, et al. Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. NeuroImage. 2004 Jan 1; 23:S208–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.051 PMID: 15501092
- Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, Rueckert D, Nichols TE, Mackay CE, et al. Tract-based spatial statistics: Voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. NeuroImage. 2006 Jul 15; 31 (4):1487–505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.02.024 PMID: 16624579
- Lyall DM, Cox SR, Lyall LM, Celis-Morales C, Cullen B, Mackay DF, et al. Association between APOE e4 and white matter hyperintensity volume, but not total brain volume or white matter integrity. Brain Imaging Behav. 2020 Oct 1; 14(5):1468–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-019-00069-9 PMID: 30903549
- Cherbuin N, Anstey KJ, Sachdev PS, Maller JJ, Meslin C, Mack HA, et al. Total and Regional Gray Matter Volume Is Not Related to APOE*E4 Status in a Community Sample of Middle-Aged Individuals. J Gerontol Ser A. 2008 May 1; 63(5):501–4.
- 40. Lissaman R, Lancaster TM, Parker GD, Graham KS, Lawrence AD, Hodgetts CJ. Tract-specific differences in white matter microstructure between young adult APOE ε4 carriers and non-carriers: A replication and extension study. Neuroimage Rep. 2022 Dec 1; 2(4):100126.

- Nao J, Sun H, Wang Q, Ma S, Zhang S, Dong X, et al. Adverse Effects of the Apolipoprotein E ε4 Allele on Episodic Memory, Task Switching and Gray Matter Volume in Healthy Young Adults. Front Hum Neurosci [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Jun 23];11. Available from: <u>https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.</u> 3389/fnhum.2017.00346/full
- den Heijer T, Oudkerk M, Launer LJ, van Duijn CM, Hofman A, Breteler MMB. Hippocampal, amygdalar, and global brain atrophy in different apolipoprotein E genotypes. Neurology. 2002 Sep 10; 59 (5):746–8. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.59.5.746 PMID: 12221169
- 43. Cavedo E, Lista S, Rojkova K, Chiesa PA, Houot M, Brueggen K, et al. Disrupted white matter structural networks in healthy older adult APOE ε4 carriers—An international multicenter DTI study. Neuroscience. 2017 Aug 15; 357:119–33.
- **44.** Remer J, Dean DC, Chen K, Reiman RA, Huentelman MJ, Reiman EM, et al. Longitudinal white matter and cognitive development in pediatric carriers of the apolipoprotein ε4 allele. NeuroImage. 2020 Nov; 222:117243.
- 45. Zhang L, Calero M, Medina M, Strange BA. APOE ε4 effects on hippocampal atrophy in the healthy elderly reflect future cognitive decline [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2022 [cited 2022 Dec 9]. p. 2022.01.07.475319. Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.01.07.475319v1
- **46.** Chiang GC, Zhan W, Schuff N, Weiner MW. White Matter Alterations in Cognitively Normal apoE ε2 Carriers: Insight into Alzheimer Resistance? AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2012 Aug; 33(7):1392–7.
- Zhou X, Wu R, Zeng Y, Qi Z, Ferraro S, Xu L, et al. Choice of Voxel-based Morphometry processing pipeline drives variability in the location of neuroanatomical brain markers. Commun Biol. 2022 Sep 6; 5:913. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03880-1 PMID: 36068295
- Triebswetter C, Kiely M, Khattar N, Ferrucci L, Resnick SM, Spencer RG, et al. Differential associations between apolipoprotein E alleles and cerebral myelin content in normative aging. NeuroImage. 2022 May 1; 251:118988. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.118988 PMID: 35150834
- 49. Hostage CA, Roy Choudhury K, Doraiswamy PM, Petrella JR. Dissecting the Gene Dose-Effects of the APOE ε4 and ε2 Alleles on Hippocampal Volumes in Aging and Alzheimer's Disease. PLoS ONE [Internet]. 2013 Feb 6 [cited 2020 Apr 17]; 8(2). Available from: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/</u> PMC3566140/